

**CITY AND COUNTY OF DENVER
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AND INFRASTRUCTURE**

**RFQ – LA ALMA LINCOLN PARK ARCHITECTUAL & ENGINEERING DESIGN SERVICES
202474337**

**ADDENDUM NO. #1
JULY 31, 2024**

Firms are hereby instructed that the RFQ documents are modified, corrected, supplemented and/or superseded for the above-mentioned project as hereinafter described:

QUESTIONS/ANSWERS

Q1. Can full resumes be included in the appendix?

A1. Yes

Q2. We have a question regarding Section Five, Tab One, Subsection B of Solicitation No. 202474337, which states that submittal requirements shall "include an affirmative statement indicating willingness to strictly comply with the Insurance and Indemnification requirements set forth in the Sample Agreement (Attachment 3)."

Within the sample agreement attached to the RFQ (Attachment 3) Section 5.07, Wallace Design Collective, PC is willing to comply with Section 5.07, except with regards to (c) requesting additional insureds for professional liability. Most professional liability insurance, including Wallace Design Collective, PC's, does not allow for additional insureds as it covers only the insured's negligent acts, errors, and omissions and not those of others. Does this disqualify us from submitting our qualifications for this proposal?

A2. Additional insured language does not apply to Professional Insurance coverage. It will only apply to CGL, Business Auto, Cyber & Excess Liability/Umbrella (if required).

Q3. What does the City foresee having the CMGC bidders use as their basis for GMP? Seeing that this 6-month procurement process is desired to start in Q1/2025, the design will be in Concept phase. Can you clarify how you see the CMGC being selected. Will it be qual based and they would provide pricing on everything from Concept forward? Or would they base their final GMPs on our 60% or 90% design drawings then potential VE would need to happen after their selection?

A3. Construction Solicitation mechanism (CMGC or On Call) to be determined at a later date pending Grant funding approval. If CMGC is chosen, the intent will be to have a contractor on board to review design and pricing prior to 90% CDs.

Q4. The vision plan illustrates an expansion of the existing pool terrace, but appears excluded of the Vision Plan Cost Estimate Diagram – Please confirm all improvements to the pool and within the enclosure are excluded from this project.

A4. Modifications within the pool area are noted in the Vision Plan. These include but not limited to realignment of exterior fence due existing park driveway relocation, conversion to synthetic turf within fence line.

Q5. Please confirm all Building scope for the Recreation Center, Pool Building, Neighborhood House are excluded from this project.

A5. Project scope does not include direct work within these facilities. Ancillary work may be required in order to ensure proper function and operation of new and existing utility systems and access.

Q6. Please confirm the landscape within the perimeter fence at the Neighborhood House are excluded from this project.

A6. Confirmed.

Q7. In the Sample Agreement - Is the City amenable to modifying the ownership of documents language such that if the City or a third party makes changes to the documents without the involvement of the Consultant, or if the documents are used for another project, the City releases Consultant from liability resulting from any such changes or usage of documents on other projects. The project will likely disturb more than one acre. Does CCD anticipate that stormwater detention and permanent water quality treatment will be required for the project?

A7. Consultant shall not be responsible if the design documents are used for a different purpose. Design to meet current city design criteria.

Q8. Is the current park utilizing an irrigation pump station or running solely off of city water pressure?

A8. Current irrigation system is running off an irrigation pump. This project will include the addition of another pump to provide sufficient water pressure throughout the park.

Q9. Does the city have any city-wide graphic standards for signage and wayfinding across all parks or will the signage and wayfinding be specific to the La Alma Lincoln Park?

A9. DPR does not have a citywide standard for interpretive signage within parks, but does have some standards for Park ID and regulatory signs.

Q10. Does the park currently have a logo developed for the park it would like to keep or would logo development be part of the design efforts?

A10. The park currently does not have a logo and it is not anticipated that a new logo will be needed as part of the design effort.