

Table	Facilitator	Concept - General	Concept - Specific	R, Y, G	Notes
3	Caryn	Alameda Parkway	Alameda & Garfield/Alameda & Monroe	G	LOVE opening up for Ped crossing
1	Chris	Alameda Parkway	Alameda and CC Drive N intersection	Y	Fix the Alameda and CC Drive N intersection as part of this concept
3	Caryn	Alameda Parkway	Medians	Y	tough to cross; why do we need them?
3	Caryn	Alameda Parkway	North side property owners	Y	what is the impact
1	Chris	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	Implement it.; fix the section line (facing wrong way)
2	Dave	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	loved it!
5	Ellen	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	loved it!
1	Chris	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	Emphatic Agreement (2 Green Dots)
2	David	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	Overwhelmingly Positive
3	Caryn	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	Agreement; especially like all the bulb outs
4	Steve	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	Need to consider shift to North instead; residential buildings would have concern with shift; meet with residents, not focus groups
5	Ellen	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	G	Like the new option
3	Caryn	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	Y	Phasing?; How can we front buildings onto Alameda?
3	Caryn	Alameda Parkway	Overall Concept	Y	where does the rail fit?
4	Steve	Alameda Parkway	South side property owners	G	Agree with concept; concern with south side shift and existing property owners (bringing street closer to existing residential buildings)
2	Dave	Areas of Change and Stability	6th Avenue - south side	R	missed this - should be an AOC
3	Caryn	Areas of Change and Stability	Alameda Triangle	G	General Agreement but need to look at detail
1	Chris	Areas of Change and Stability	CCN Business	G	Agreement
2	Dave	Areas of Change and Stability	CCN Residential	Y	many opportunities for redevelopment here still; consider AOC
2	Dave	Areas of Change and Stability	Harrison Street	G	add investment potential (higher density); buffer from Colorado
1	Chris	Areas of Change and Stability	Harrison Street	G	Need to be aware of what change should or will be
2	David	Areas of Change and Stability	Harrison Street	G	Good to include in AOC as "buffer" to Colorado
5	Ellen	Areas of Change and Stability	Harrison Street	R	north of 1st Avenue will not change; small residential; doesn't need new investment (starting about halfway btwn 1st and 2nd)
1	Chris	Areas of Change and Stability	Harrison Street	Y	north of 1st; East side of Harrison may still be AOC, but west side has seen investment and can be AOS now
3	Caryn	Areas of Change and Stability	Harrison Street	Y	Need more info; what does this mean for zoning and existing owners?
4	Steve	Areas of Change and Stability	Overall Concept	G	General Agreement
3	Caryn	Areas of Change and Stability	Shopping District	G	General Agreement but need to look at detail
2	David	Areas of Change and Stability	Shopping District	G	General Agreement with Specific Site Emphasis (Strip Mall, Post Office, Columbine Block, Sears, Bed Bath & Beyond, Sak's, Movie Theaters, Safeway, Full block of Milwaukee to St. Paul between 2nd & 3rd, All Split Level developments)
2	Dave	Areas of Change and Stability	Shopping District	G	Highlighted true areas of change (development opportunities)
5	Ellen	Areas of Change and Stability	Shopping District	R	no change will occur at the mall and on 1st Avenue, so these should be AOS
2	Dave	Areas of Change and Stability	Shopping District	Y	take public spaces out of AOC designation (3rd Ave plaza and Clayton lane and Fillmore)
4	Steve	Areas of Change and Stability	University - west side - 1st to 3rd	R	not AOC; this shopping center is stable and does not need to change; make this AOC
2	Dave	Bicycle	1st and University	R	needs to be more bike friendly; add connection east side of university
3	Caryn	Bicycle	1st and University	Y	make sure it is safe
1	Chris	Bicycle	1st Avenue	R	shared bike/ped a problem
5	Ellen	Bicycle	1st Avenue	R	no bikes on sidewalk due to conflicts with peds
2	Dave	Bicycle	1st Avenue	Y	bikes should be on 1st, but design needs to be safe; also better signage
4	Steve	Bicycle	1st Avenue	Y	good idea for local traffic, but don't want to generate more
2	Dave	Bicycle	1st Avenue		east side of University should have bike route
2	Dave	Bicycle	6th and University	R	make more bike friendly; connect to Botanic Garden (north of planning area)
2	Dave	Bicycle	6th and University	R	better bike crossing
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Bayaud and Garfield	Y	function of this intersection;
2	Dave	Bicycle	Bayaud and Colorado	Y	where does this bike lane go on east side of Colorado
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Bayaud and Steele	Y	Careful; safety concern at this intersection; make more bike friendly
2	David	Bicycle	Bayaud Avenue Bike Lane	G	Agreement
4	Steve	Bicycle	Bayaud Avenue Bike Lane	G	Agreement
5	Ellen	Bicycle	Bayaud Avenue Bike Lane	G	Works well
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Bridge Crossings over Cherry Creek	G	Agreement
2	Dave	Bicycle	Cherry Creek North	Y	need an additional east west connection through neighborhood
4	Steve	Bicycle	Cherry Creek North Drive at Garfield	G	Above Grade

Table	Facilitator	Concept - General	Concept - Specific	R, Y, G	Notes
2	David	Bicycle	Cherry Creek South (University to Clayton)	G	Liked introduction of that path
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Clayton	Y	Consider a bike route on Clayton to the mall
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Garfield at Alameda	R	Traffic light needed to make this work and we don't support traffic light
2	David	Bicycle	Garfield Street Neighborhood Greenway	G	Very in favor; especially connection over Creek
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Garfield Street Neighborhood Greenway	G	Good Gateway at 1st & Garfield; Access; CCN Good
4	Steve	Bicycle	Garfield Street Neighborhood Greenway	G	Agreement (N-S Connection)
1	Chris	Bicycle	Harrison & Colorado (North of Bayaud)	G	Concern about North Side Connection
5	Ellen	Bicycle	Madison	Y	Need an additional neighborhood connection on Madison that transfers to Garfield on 7th
5	Ellen	Bicycle	Madison and Alameda	R	fix this intersection to be more bike and ped friendly
5	Ellen	Bicycle	Madison St, 3rd Avenue & Clayton	G	Create "H" shaped route for B-Cycle connection on 3rd & better bike connections on Clayton & Madison Street
3	Caryn	Bicycle	Saint Paul Street Shared Road	G	Agreement
4	Steve	Bicycle	Saint Paul Street Shared Road	G	Agree with N-S Connection, but bad intersection at 1st Avenue
5	Ellen	Bicycle	Saint Paul Street Shared Road	Y	no need for St. Paul connection - replace with Clayton connector
4	Steve	Bicycle	St. Paul at 1st Avenue	G	intersection needs to be improved with signage and striping to direct bikes; if tie better into ST. Paul might not need to have 1st Avenue connection
5	Ellen	Bicycle	Steele Street Sidewalks Bikes Permitted	R	no bikes on Steele due to cut through at Safeway parking lot
1	Chris	East 1st Avenue	Overall Concept	G	Implement it.
1	Chris	East 1st Avenue	Overall Concept	G	General Agreement
2	David	East 1st Avenue	Overall Concept	G	Possibly add selectively placed planted medians; Improve 1st Avenue & Steele (continue the Blvd. feel)
3	Caryn	East 1st Avenue	Overall Concept	G	Especially Bus Bulb-Outs; on-street parking; landscaping; Bus Route Priority/enhanced service; great for Peds
4	Steve	East 1st Avenue	Phase 1	G	Agreement
5	Ellen	East 1st Avenue	Phase 1	G	Medians preferred
5	Ellen	East 1st Avenue	Phase 1	R	street too wide; go directly to phase 2
5	Ellen	East 1st Avenue	Phase 2	G	Justify with counts
2	Dave	East 1st Avenue	Phase 2	G	Preferred over Phase 1. Add planted medians with turn lanes
4	Steve	East 1st Avenue	Phase 2	Y	Need more info about what happens to lanage and why we can't put another traffic signa
5	Ellen	Land Use Character Areas	2nd Avenue, east of Steele	Y	in this commercial area - confirm that the commercial designations are not shown over residential properties
5	Ellen	Land Use Character Areas	3rd Avenue Town Center	G	agree with Town Center
5	Ellen	Land Use Character Areas	3rd Avenue Town Center	R	3rd Avenue should be included as part of the Regional Center if we can show with other maps and illustrations that there are variations in scale
2	Dave	Land Use Character Areas	3rd Avenue Town Center	Y	pull Town Center line south ; needs more discussion
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	3rd Avenue Town Center	Y	Consider whether Neighborhood Center is more appropriate
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	Alameda Triangle Regional Center	Y	What does RC mean to DRCOG?
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	Alameda Triangle Regional Center	Y	Consider Urban Residential for Monroe Point since it is residential
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	Bayaud and Madison	R	Town Center should be Neighborhood Center
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	Bayaud and Madison	Y	Change to Neighborhood Center
2	David	Land Use Character Areas	CCE - Urban Residential	G	Multi-family specifically classified as owner-occupied, rentals, etc.; are there offices in area marked as UR south of Cherry Creek?
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	CCE - Urban Residential	G	Agreement
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	CCE Regional Center	Y	Why is this a regional center; this is mostly residential
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	CCE Regional Center	Y	Will Regional Center push density to CCE residential
5	Ellen	Land Use Character Areas	CCE Regional Center - Seasons and Allied Jewish	R	Should be urban residential not Regional Center
2	Dave	Land Use Character Areas	CCE Urban Residential	G	ok with urban residential and higher density; don't want to encourage more renters
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	CCE Urban Residential	Y	While existing includes Multi-family, new developments should be RH
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Regional Center	R	Not realistic; should be Town Center; need transition to neighborhood
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Regional Center	Y	Concern about Regional Center unless we can show the height limits in another map
1	Chris	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Regional Center - Steele btwn 2nd and 3rd	Y	should be Town Center if Town Center is shown as a buffer elsewhere
2	David	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Residential, Urban Residential - Rowhouse	G	Agreement
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Residential, Urban Residential - Rowhouse	G	Agreement (CCN)
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Residential, Urban Residential - Rowhouse	G	Agreement
1	Chris	Land Use Character Areas	CCN Urban Residential	Y	make sure it reflects G-MU-5 boundary
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	Pedestrian Shopping Corridor	G	Agreement
3	Caryn	Land Use Character Areas	Regional Center	G	Change is inevitable

Table	Facilitator	Concept - General	Concept - Specific	R, Y, G	Notes
1	Chris	Land Use Character Areas	Regional Center (All)	G	Green for CCN, Shopping and Alameda Tri; need to discuss building heights
1	Chris	Land Use Character Areas	Regional Center (CCN & Alameda)	G	Height & Intensity discussion to follow in Focus Groups 2 & 3; Don't forget transition;
4	Steve	Land Use Character Areas	Regional Center (CCSC & Alameda)	G	Agreement (More residential at CCSC)
5	Ellen	Land Use Character Areas	Town Center	G	Difference in Scale so transition is needed
2	Dave	Land Use Character Areas	Town Center	R	continue town center buffer all the way around regional center
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Colorado	G	Agreement
1	Chris	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Cook St.	G	Good to be able to cross comfortably, though not necessarily a Priority Intersector
1	Chris	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Detroit St.	G	New Pedestrian Priority Intersection
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Garfield	G	Agreement
1	Chris	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Jackson St.	G	Good to be able to cross comfortably, though not necessarily a Priority Intersector
1	Chris	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Milwaukee St.	G	New Pedestrian Priority Intersection
1	Chris	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Monroe St.	G	Good to be able to cross comfortably, though not necessarily a Priority Intersector
1	Chris	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Saint Paul St.	G	New Pedestrian Priority Intersection
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & Steele	G	Extraordinary Support (Star)
2	David	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & University	G	Good Placement of Ped Priority Intersection
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	1st Avenue & University	G	Extraordinary Support (Star)
5	Ellen	Pedestrian	1st Avenue and Adams	G	challenge for elderly, needs more consideration
5	Ellen	Pedestrian	1st Avenue and Colorado	Y	not a huge priority no demand
5	Ellen	Pedestrian	3rd & University/3rd & Josephine	G	Important pedestrian Gateways; ped priority
5	Ellen	Pedestrian	3rd & University/3rd & Josephine	G, R	good ped priority zone; should be ped priority intersections
5	Ellen	Pedestrian	3rd and Colorado	R	should be high ped priority intersection; 3rd is a "parkway" to cranmer park
2	Dave	Pedestrian	6th and University	R	Add to priority intersections; difficult to cross
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	Alameda and Garfield	R	Garfield and Alameda not ped priority because we don't want a traffic light or bike route here
4	Steve	Pedestrian	Cherry Creek Trail	R	separated bike and ped trail along the river; or some treatment to make safer for al
4	Steve	Pedestrian	Cherry Creek Trail	R	Better connection to Pulaski Park
5	Ellen	Pedestrian	Clayton through mall	R	needs to be a enhanced ped connection
2	Dave	Pedestrian	Colorado	Y	add more priority intersections or add to ped zone;
4	Steve	Pedestrian	East side of University at Bed Bath & Beyond	G	Need sidewalk
4	Steve	Pedestrian	General		show how bike and ped align
2	David	Pedestrian	Overall Concept	G	Agreement on Ped Priority Zone Area & Most Intersections
2	Dave	Pedestrian	Pedestrian Priority Zone	G	
1	Chris	Pedestrian	Pedestrian Priority Zone	G	Ok with Ped Priority Zone Area
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	Pedestrian Priority Zone	G	Look into Bromwell, too; like the boundary; like the concept; should there be elevated standards
4	Steve	Pedestrian	Pedestrian Priority Zone	G	Currently Successful as a PPZ
2	Dave	Pedestrian	Pedestrian Priority Zone - Alameda Triangle	Y	not a ped zone now, but how would it get implemented; not the same level of enhancement needed as in shopping district
3	Caryn	Pedestrian	Steele & Ellsworth Avenue	G	Extraordinary Support (Star)
4	Steve	Pedestrian	University - east side	G	Add sidewalk
4	Steve	Pedestrian	University at 2nd and 3rd	R	Add as ped priority intersections
1	Chris	Transit	7th Avenue	Y	fix 7th Avenue bus route; should be on 8th
4	Steve	Transit	Bus Corridor Loop at Ellsworth & Bayaud	G	Agreement
5	Ellen	Transit	Bus Corridors - CCN	G	Internal Transit Circulation
3	Caryn	Transit	Bus Corridors (6th & 8th)	G	Good; look at one way streets
1	Chris	Transit	Bus Corridors (all)	G	Good Availability
3	Caryn	Transit	Enhanced Transit - Colorado	Y	Add "Potential Rail Service"
1	Chris	Transit	Enhanced Transit - Colorado Boulevard	G	Enhanced Transit can serve to improve connectivity to DIA, Co. Station, etc.
2	David	Transit	Enhanced Transit - Colorado Boulevard	G	In favor
4	Steve	Transit	Enhanced Transit - Colorado Boulevard	G	Agreement
1	Chris	Transit	Enhanced Transit - Josephine St. (North)	G	
2	David	Transit	Enhanced Transit - University Avenue	G	In favor
2	Dave	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	G	
1	Chris	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	G	Postive Reception
2	David	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	G	Possibly stop rail at 1st & Steele or Bayaud & Steele due to concern of too many conflicting opinions
5	Ellen	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	G	Neighborhood serving; multiple stops; stop at CCN/Mall

Table	Facilitator	Concept - General	Concept - Specific	R, Y, G	Notes
3	Caryn	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	R	1st Steele and Alameda; should be Enhanced Transit; look at entire region; route is too defined by Speer Leetsdale travelshed; need resident; need more data; look at other routes; show how this integrates with rest of system
4	Steve	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	R	Don't want any rail (one person at table)
5	Ellen	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	R	The word "Rail" is a problem; but if it has frequent local stops (as opposed being primarily regional "through" trips), then it would be worth further study; also, could use Alameda instead of 1st if it's more of a regional system
1	Chris	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	Y	Capture Country Club and east side concerns for the rail corridor
4	Steve	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	Y	Need to continue explore rail option because can't keep widening the street to accommodate more vehicles
2	Dave	Transit	Potential Rail Corridor	Y	Is it a local connector or regional? Want to connect CCN to Downtown and DIA than connecting to Aurora; want local stops as a destination in addition to connections to DIA and Downtown; perhaps stop the line
1	Chris	Vehicle	1st Avenue and Columbine	Y	Add a ped only crossing and break in median to deal with ped crossings that happen there
1	Chris	Vehicle	5th Avenue and Josephine	Y	Intersection is major vehicular movement west on 5th turning north on Josephine; causes problems on Josephine
4	Steve	Vehicle	6th Avenue & Garfield	G	Need Light at intersection
4	Steve	Vehicle	6th Avenue and Garfield	G	Add a traffic light
1	Chris	Vehicle	6th Avenue at St. Paul	Y	mid block light (platooning light) should be moved to the intersection; creates the opportunity for more people to move N-S on Steele; Would also make it easier for bikes and peds to cross;
4	Steve	Vehicle	East 1st Avenue	Y	Add a traffic light for slowing traffic ped safety
5	Ellen	Vehicle	East 1st Avenue & Madison	G	Add new signal for bike connection/ped crossing
4	Steve	Vehicle	East 1st Avenue (Between Steele & Garfield)	G	Need Additional Light to slow traffic
3	Caryn	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Alameda & Garfield	G	To Improve N-S Connectivity
3	Caryn	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Alameda and Garfield	G	Improves N-S connectivity
2	Dave	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Alameda and Garfield	R	Don't understand why these are needed; traffic needs to flow; can cross the streets now easily
3	Caryn	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Alameda and Garfield	R	Traffic will backup on Alameda and create cut through traffic into CCE
5	Ellen	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Alameda and Garfield	R	back up traffic on Alameda
5	Ellen	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Alameda and Garfield	R	stoplight should be at dakota, not garfield
4	Steve	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - all	G	Agreement
1	Chris	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and CC Drive N	G	Ped/Bike Activated Light
5	Ellen	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and CC Drive N	G	Connection
2	Dave	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and CC Drive N	R	Don't understand why these are needed; traffic needs to flow; can cross the streets now easily
3	Caryn	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and CC Drive N	Y	Need more info
2	Dave	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and Colorado	R	Don't understand why these are needed; traffic needs to flow; can cross the streets now easily
5	Ellen	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and Colorado	R	why is it needed?
3	Caryn	Vehicle	Proposed New Signals - Dakota and Colorado	Y	Need more info