



Results from Level 3A Screening May 18, 2006

Ratings

- Very Good
- ◐ Good
- ◑ Fair
- ◒ Poor
- Very Poor

Level 3 Evaluation Criteria

- Addressing S. Broadway Peak Period Demand
- Addressing Traffic Access/Connectivity
- Minimizing Regional Cut-Through Traffic in Neighborhoods
- Enhancing Bike/Ped Access/Mobility/Safety
- Enhancing Transit Access/Ridership
- Consistency w/Adopted Stakeholder
- Impact to Environmental Resources
- Impact to Viability of Neighborhoods
- Impact to Existing Businesses
- Funding and Construction Feasibility
- Improving Traffic Safety

Alternatives	Criteria												Strengths	Weaknesses
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K			
No-Action Alternative	◐	◐	◐	◒	◐	◒	●	●	●	●	◐	No-A	Per NEPA Carried into EA	
TSM Alternative	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	◐	●	●	●	●	◐	TSM	G, H, I, J	C, D
Alternative 1: Maximizing Transit (100' Broadway)	◐	◐	◑	◑	●	◑	◑	●	◐	◐	◑	1	C, D, E, F, G, H	
Alternative 2: Multi-Way Boulevard (169' Width)	◑	◐	◐	◑	◑	●	◐	◑	◐	◑	◑	2	A, D, E, F, J, K	C, G
Alternative 3: No Broadway Widening (100') with Flyover	◐	◐	◑	◐	◐	◐	◐	●	◐	◑	◐	3	C, H	D, G, J
Alternative 5: Broadway Widening (125')/Early Left Turns at Ohio	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◐	◐	◐	○	◑	5	A, B, C, D, E, F	G, H, J
Alternative 6: 100' Broadway with Wedge Ram and Tight Diamond at Mississippi	◑	◑	◑	◒	◒	○	◑	◐	○	◑	◑	6	A, B, C	D, E, F, G, H, I, J
Alternative 7: Broadway/Acoma Couplet	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◑	◐	◑	◐	◐	◑	7	A, B, C, D, F	E
Alternative 8: Broadway/Lincoln Couplet	◑	◐	◐	◐	◑	◑	◐	◑	◐	◑	○	8	J	A, C, D, E, F, K

Bold Letter Indicates Evaluation Criteria Defined from Project Purpose and Need (**A, B, C, E, K**).

